ΤοοΙ	Pros	Cons
SEAT 2.0	 Identifies specific services and structures that are necessary for comprehensive SMH programming Differentiates between MTSS and RTI Allows assessor to easily identify training needs Addresses all areas on the SMH continuum Opportunities for customization Data based Electronic 	 The definition of growth mindset is not consistent with literature The tool is 35 pages – Schools may not be able to easily incorporate tool into structure The scoring is not consistent Largely based upon perception which is subject to bias Scoring in MCATS section is cumbersome – descriptions are before scoring
SHAPE (annual assessment)	 Electronic Takes less than 20 minutes to complete Evidenced based – Backed by SAMHSA, NCTSN, CSMH and other highly regarded national institutions Considers faculty and staff in schools' ability to effectively respond to trauma Considers staff self-care and community involvement when assessing school climate 	 Not student focused, specific to school culture and climate – The tool is better used for district level planning Likens school's response to students with a trauma history to student's with a disability (supported via an IEP) Enables district wide data collection
ARTIC	 Useful when attempting to determine a schools readiness for change We've collected data from a local school with high incidences of trauma – results were encouraging 	 Can't be used districtwide – assesses attitudes
SHI (annual)	 Tool specific to different populations – considers the developmental needs of students 	 Long – MS/HS form is 248 pages long Heavy emphasis on physical health -SMH

	 Aligned with the whole school community/whole child approach – Considers teachers and community stakeholders Encourages neutral SHI coordinator Addresses ambiguity Score card provides action steps to help school complete an improvement plan – Offers recommendations for change Has a separate guide to assist schools with developing improvement plans Includes physical and mental health Includes suicidality Score card already developed and template for an improvement plan is provided Provides several resource links Webinar that teaches how to use it 	services are addressed until module 6 of 11
	 Webinar that teaches how to use it Free Available online 	
CAFAS	 Allow child-serving social workers and clinicians to uniformly gauge functioning and inform case planning for every child receiving services through OSSE and/or the DC Gateway (which is the System of Care (SOC) in the District, under its SAMHSA federal grant); 	•

	 Measure each child's progress over time with respect to overall functioning; and, Inform system-level evaluation of services and interventions being provided to these children for the purposes of scaling services and increasing access to effective services and interventions. 	
SWPBIS	 Free Can be implemented several times throughout the year System to include a fidelity measure, walkthrough assessment, et cetera 	 Paper and pencil Must utilize the entire system to complete a comprehensive assessment – no one tool addresses each domain Heavy emphasis on behavior modification
Blueprint for SMH DBH Needs Assessment	•	 Paper and pencil Paper and pencil Provider/service focus – does not address systems