Family and Youth Subcommittee

REPORT TO THE COORDINATING COUNCIL FOR SCHOOL BEHAVIORAL HEALTH





Participation at Subcommittee Meetings

- (now via Zoom)
- Frequent Participation from:
 - Family Leaders • Family Facing Orgs • Gov't Advocacy Agencies: DBH Ombudsman DBH Consumer and Family Affairs Administration Education Ombudsman Office of the Student Advocate



• Monthly meetings every 3rd Friday

Office of Disability Rights



IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE PAVE PARENTS LISTENING SESSION

PARENTS AMPLIFYING

Office of Deputy Mayor for

Health & Human Services

Parent Concern 1: Some CBOs were thought to not be aware of the expansion yet it was discovered that Community Connections was invited in cohort one but self-elected to not participate further and dropped out. Frontline staff are not aware of the expansion and it is unclear why the organization opted out.

Parent Concern 2: Frustrated at not knowing what is happening and what the process is to receive help; Also, schools seem consumed with compliance with deadlines versus quality of service delivery. An ask for key decision makers on a city-wide basis to make time to come into the schools.

Parent Concern 3: There were several discussions co-occurring about the role of ChAMPs. One parent was concerned about access and wanted to see a ChAMPs-like service in every school; other parents were concerned of the misuse of ChAMPs to remove children from school without de-escalation being the first line of defense (one parent used her experience with her 7 year old so as an example)



MEETINGS WITH FAMILY FACING ORGANIZATIONS



<u>STRENTH</u>: (1) Family to family connection to community systems and navigation; (2) Advocacy efforts knoledgable about the lived experiences of DC families; and (3) Can offer access to samples of family and youth leaders to help with feedback about the school behavioral health expansion.

<u>WEAKNESS</u>: (1) Limited capacity to offer families in need of systems navigation and advocacy through the school behavioral health system; and (2) Lack of access to the key agency actors to help with training and other support to help these agencies improve services so families feel more safe when interaction.

<u>OPPORTUNITY</u>: (1) Look at systems failures and not shortcomings of individual families; (2)Processes that create better oversight for how implementation happens on the ground.

<u>THREAT</u>: (1) Lack of commitment to fiscally invest in this angle of the work; and (2) Not having targetted engagement with family facing organizations that's measured and monitored.