Meeting Attendance and Participants' Understanding of Role and City-Wide Expansion

Overview
This summary presents highlights of the evaluations from the four DC School Behavioral Health Community of Practice (CoP) meetings held November 2019 to February 2020. Specifically, this summary explores the two longitudinal aspects of the evaluations: Participants’ understanding of the goal of the city-wide expansion of school-based behavioral health and their role in the city-wide expansion. Furthermore, this summary explores the relationship between the number of CoP meetings attended and participants’ level of understanding of the city-wide expansion.

Participant Profile*
A total of 83 evaluation forms were completed. Thirty-five of the forms were completed by CBO/DBH clinicians, followed by nine school behavioral health coordinators, seven Technical Assistance providers, five CBO/DBH administrators, two school administrators and 25 who identified as other.

Understanding of City-Wide Expansion*
The average understanding of the goal of the city-wide expansion of school-based behavioral health was 3.10 (N=83, SD=.69). The frequency distribution of the responses is shown in the chart below.

Understanding of Role in City-Wide Expansion*
The average understanding of participants’ role in the city-wide expansion of school-based behavioral health was 3.19 (N=83, SD=.61). The frequency distribution of the responses is shown in the chart below.

*Some participants have attended multiple CoP meetings and completed multiple evaluations forms.

Prepared for: DC CoP
The “Understanding City-Wide Expansion” chart shows the average score for the understanding of the goal of the city-wide expansion of school-based behavioral health by the number of meetings attended. The average understanding score was 3.0 (N=53, SD=.71) after attending one CoP meeting, 3.24 (N=17, SD = .56) after attending two CoP meetings, and 3.31 (N=13, SD = .75) after attending three or more CoP meetings. The chart shows the higher level of understanding for the higher number of meeting attended. However, the statistical significance of the relationship can’t be verified due to the data limitation.

The “Understanding Role” chart shows the average score for the understanding of the role in the city-wide expansion by the number of meetings attended. The average understanding score was 3.17 (N=53, SD=.51) after attending one CoP meeting, 3.35 (N=17, SD = .61) after attending two CoP meetings, and 3.08 (N=13, SD = .95) after attending three or more CoP meetings.

Notes:
1) For analysis purpose responses were coded as: No Understanding=1, Slight Understanding=2, Moderate Understanding=3, Complete Understanding=4; Strongly Disagree=1, Disagree=2, Agree=3, and Strongly Agree=4.
2) Evaluation data from four CoP meetings (November 2019-February 2020) were combined together.
3) Some participants have attended multiple CoP meetings and completed multiple evaluations forms.